## United States District Court Southern District of Texas

Case Number: #-04-2387

## **ATTACHMENT**

Description:		
	State Court Record	☐ State Court Record Continued
	Administrative Record	
□ Document continued - Part III of IV		
□ Exhibit(s) number(s) / letter(s) Exh # 103		
Other: PI+f's First Amended Pet. Habeas Corpus		
		<i>V</i>

- 1 prisoner assaults generally in TDC, or do you think this is just
- 2 because it's rape or sexual assault?
- 3 A. I don't know that I know that. I find that the figures,
- 4 such as these, would suggest to me that there is a greater
- 5 tolerance for sexual assault than there is for physical assault.
- 6 MS. SALITERMAN: I would like to move the admission of
- 7 Plaintiffs' 7, 8, 9 and 10.
- 8 MR. YOUNG: No objection. To admissibility only.
- 9 THE COURT: They're admitted. While we're at it,
- 10 reference has been made by counsel to Exhibit 664 and 674 and
- 11 they have not been submitted, much less admitted.
- MS. SALITERMAN: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. Let me
- 13 present those -- one moment, please. I'm sorry, Your Honor.
- 14 I'm swimming in exhibits. May I approach, Your Honor?
- 15 THE COURT: You may.
- 16 MR. YOUNG: No objection to 664.
- 17 THE COURT: It is admitted.
- MR. YOUNG: No objection to 665. No objection to 666.

- 19 No objection to 667 or of 668. No objection to 669. No
- 20 objection to 670 through 674.
- 21 THE COURT: They are admitted.
- 22 BY MS. SALITERMAN:
- 23 Q. And you're looking at this problem of -- well, let me
- 24 backtrack. Do you have any other specific examples on safety
- 25 protection that you would like to discuss and which you base

- 1 your opinion that there is a serious disregard for the risk of
- 2 harm to prisoners within TDCJ?
- 3 A. In a relationship I guess to what we were talking about in
- 4 terms of rape kits, I did interview an individual by the name of
- 5 Billy Kincaid, Number 613359. Billy had at one point been in
- 6 safekeeping at Beto Unit, and then was removed from there in May
- 7 of 1998. On May 1st, I might add, he had been removed earlier
- 8 but had filed in late April a grievance requesting protection
- 9 and a safer situation. He subsequently then was removed from
- 10 his safekeeping status. And then literally two days later one
- 11 of the inmates that had earlier been threatening him, throwing
- 12 scalding water at him while he was in his cell, and -- he
- 13 initially was placed back in safekeeping but then taken off for
- 14 fighting. Safekeeping, of course, in Texas is not as defining
- 15 as it is in many other institutions. Safekeeping individuals,
- 16 at least historically for the last several years, although have
- 17 this housing one could call a protective level of housing are in
- 18 general population for the purposes of programming, whether it

- 19 be education, work or eating or recreation. This was confirmed20 by the medical department.
- He then again asked for safekeeping, again was denied,
- 22 and was raped by two inmates. And at the time was both denied
- 23 medical and at no time was submitted to a rape test with a rape
- 24 kit.
- 25 Q. You mentioned, by the way, that he filed a grievance. And

- 1 was this the first notice to TDC of his feeling that he was at a
- 2 risk of harm?
- 3 A. No, actually he had been in safekeeping earlier at the Beto
- 4 Unit and subsequently released from safekeeping. Then after
- 5 being threatened, filed -- had verbally requested protection.
- 6 And when he got what he felt was not an adequate response, filed
- 7 a grievance related to it.
- 8 Q. I think in these documents there are examples of grievances
- 9 in one of the plaintiffs' exhibits. Would you just tell us
- 10 briefly what filing a grievance means and who sees it and where
- 11 it goes?
- 12 A. Well, the grievance would normally be the process that an
- 13 inmate in any correctional system would use to make the system
- 14 aware of what the inmate observes as a failure of a system to
- 15 work or of a failure of the system to provide them those things
- 16 they are due.
- 17 In this particular instance, the inmate, if he felt
- 18 that he -- that people had not followed the proper procedure in

- 19 recognizing his request for a protection, would use the
- 20 grievance to make his problem known and filing such a grievance,
- 21 the grievance would go to a grievance officer who in theory
- 22 would investigate that and then respond in kind with whatever
- 23 the solution to that grievance would be.
- 24 Q. Now, you said in theory. What do you mean by that?
- 25 A. It appears in some instances of the many records I reviewed

- 1 that many grievances are not investigated or are not thoroughly
- 2 reviewed. In fact, in many instances there are responses that
- 3 seem to be simply Xeroxes or standard stock responses to a
- 4 variety of kinds of grievances.
- 5 Q. And when you say not many, of all -- could you give the
- 6 Court an estimate of how many different prisoner files you
- 7 reviewed in your tour of those 16 units?
- 8 A. During the period of tours, I would guess it would have
- 9 reached a minimum of 25 per unit, and 16 -- roughly 16 units, so
- 10 300. And then I have reviewed other prisoner files subsequent
- 11 to that.
- 12 O. Okay. And how would you characterize the responses to
- 13 grievances that you reviewed in that more than 200 files?
- 14 A. Unfortunately, I think as I found during a couple of
- 15 things during my interviews with inmates that there is almost
- 16 a universal distrust of the grievance system, which is
- 17 unfortunate, because it does need reasonable credibility if it's
- 18 going to accomplish what I'm sure TDCJ wants it to accomplish.

- But the belief is, in many instances of many inmates I
- 20 interviewed and at looking at files, that there is no use in
- 21 filing a grievance because you're simply going to be denied
- 22 anyway.
- MS. SALITERMAN: And if I may approach, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: You may.
- 25 BY MS. SALITERMAN:

- 1 Q. I would like to show you Plaintiffs' 48. Let me represent
- 2 that this document was produced as an example of a unit's
- 3 response to grievances. You testified that there were stock
- 4 answers to grievances that seemed to be copied or just retyped.
- 5 Is that Plaintiffs' 48 an example of the kind of stock answers
- 6 you observed looking through all of those files?
- 7 A. It absolutely does.
- 8 MS. SALITERMAN: I move its admission, Your Honor.
- 9 MR. YOUNG: No objection.
- 10 THE COURT: It is admitted.
- 11 MS. SALITERMAN: And I would also -- if I could
- 12 approach again.
- 13 BY MS. SALITERMAN:
- 14 Q. Let me show you a copy of what's going to be marked as
- 15 Plaintiffs' 15, not 1, protective custody and safekeeping beds
- 16 as of December 1, '98. And you testified about prisoners,
- 17 including the last gentleman, being moved in and out of
- 18 safekeeping. And does that exhibit reflect what you know to be

- 19 more or less the number of safekeeping beds in TDCJ at the time?
- 20 A. It does.
- 21 Q. And how many safekeeping beds are there in the entire
- 22 system?
- 23 A. This particular document would suggest that as December 1,
- 24 1998, there were 2,592 safekeeping beds.
- 25 Q. Out of a total population of what?

- 1 A. 143,803.
- 2 Q. There is also a code called PC and you've mentioned
- 3 protective custody. What is protective custody and how does it
- 4 compare with safekeeping? What kind of categories of housing do
- 5 those two classifications offer?
- 6 A. Generally, protective custody would be described as the
- 7 individual being housed and treated in a manner that offers him
- 8 whatever protection he needs but generally complete protection.
- 9 It would generally be -- not always, but generally be in a
- 10 setting such as an administrative segregation, which is where it
- 11 happens to be with TDCJ. The beds that they can be protective
- 12 custody beds are found generally in their administrative
- 13 segregation units and they're for the most part individuals who
- 14 are fully restricted in terms of movement and access to other
- 15 people.
- Safekeeping in the Texas vernacular is a housing
- 17 status. It's an attempt to place an individual who they
- 18 identify as having safety needs in a safe housing situation.

- 19 Historically, I think as I mentioned before, however, it's a bit
- 20 unusual because they frequently -- a person does everything else
- 21 in general population, including, eating, recreation, going to
- 22 school, work, et cetera.
- 23 It's my understanding that TDCJ is attempting and
- 24 possibly may have already implemented the possibility of placing
- 25 safekeeping beds in other units that can be more fully

- 1 controlled and look like the more standardly accepted level of
- 2 protection for such inmates that have that need.
- 3 Q. Now, the number of TDCJ prisoners as of December '98 in
- 4 protective custody is what? What's the number on that?
- 5 A. Excuse me?
- 6 Q. In protective custody, how --
- 7 A. 100 -- this is listed by beds available. It says 128. I
- 8 recall from the National Council of Crime and Delinquency
- 9 reported that number, and I believe it was in October, was
- 10 around 70.
- 11 Q. So you recall that the actual number of prisoners with
- 12 protective custody status was 70, but this shows there are
- 13 additional beds, so they could put up to 128 beds in that
- 14 status?
- 15 A. Apparently so.
- 16 Q. In your experience throughout all the other prison systems
- 17 that you visited, what -- is this a high number, a low number?
- 18 Do you have an opinion about this in comparison to the

- 19 population of 144,000?
- 20 A. When I saw this figure and understood safekeeping and
- 21 protective custody as defined by TDCJ, I was a bit surprised.
- 22 First of all, I admire a system that tries to limit the
- 23 necessity for putting people in protective custody. It's very
- 24 -- in my opinion, a very inhumane situation. But there are
- 25 those people that need that protection. I find for a population

- 1 of 143,000 that to only have 128 PC beds protective custody
- 2 beds is surprisingly low, in my opinion, compared to systems
- 3 that I've seen.
- 4 The 2,592 safekeeping beds also I think are quite low
- 5 for the population of 143,000, particularly as they historically
- 6 have been operated as not being protective in the full sense of
- 7 the word.
- 8 Q. What is the use of protective custody? What's the
- 9 relationship between having more people in protective custody
- 10 and preventing the vulnerable and weak and the kinds of
- 11 prisoners you have described from sexual and other assaults in
- 12 the system?
- 13 A. Well, I would agree with what I would observe as being one
- 14 theory of TDCJ, that whenever possible if it's in a
- 15 situation that the protection need is due to a predator, your
- 16 preference is to lock up the predator, not the victim. But the
- 17 vulnerable people, frequently there are too many potential
- 18 predators to do that. And there are enough of those people in

- 19 the system that I find this -- in any system find this a
- 20 surprisingly low number, which would suggest that if you don't
- 21 make the beds available, you are going to have to minimize the
- 22 number of people you place in that status, and I believe we saw
- 23 from figures produced by TDCJ both per Unit Classification
- 24 Committee denials as well as the state classification committee
- 25 denials, that the denials are significantly greater in number at

- 1 the UCC level certainly than those that are accepted.
- 2 Q. And you said that the UCC is the unit classification body,
- 3 and then those decisions are reviewed by a systemlike body
- 4 called the FEC?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 THE COURT: Called the what?
- 7 THE WITNESS: It's called the State Classification
- 8 Committee.
- 9 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 10 MS. SALITERMAN: SCC. Sorry, I think I misspoke.
- MS. SALITERMAN: Your Honor, I would present another
- 12 document or --
- 13 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. While we're waiting, you
- 14 made mention of the fact that these reported incidents is a
- 15 great -- or astonishingly low considering the prison population.
- 16 Is there -- insofar as you know, has there been any study as to
- 17 what the usual number of sexual assaults are in prisons
- 18 throughout the United States per hundred or per thousand or

- 19 whatever?
- THE WITNESS: I don't know of anything that I would
- 21 consider to be trustworthy, Your Honor. There are reporting
- 22 mechanisms. There are some little booklets that come out
- 23 annually from the Criminal Justice Institute. The problem is
- 24 that they contain self-reported data from each state
- 25 jurisdiction, and depending on how good the state's reporting

- 1 procedures are affects that.
- THE COURT: I see.
- THE WITNESS: However, I think what we can say is I'm
- 4 sure as acquainted you have been in the years over prison life,
- 5 that sex in prisons, and particularly the threat of violence in
- 6 order to obtain that sex, is not an uncommon kind of situation.
- 7 And that rapes, and particularly I think in male prisons, but
- 8 it's true also in women prisons, albeit common problem is not
- 9 the correct word, but uncommon is the correct word for there
- 10 being a rather frequent incidence of it.
- 11 THE COURT: All right. We'll have the morning recess
- 12 at this time. The Court will be in recess for 15 minutes.
- 13 (Recess at 10:30 a.m., until 10:45 a.m.)
- 14 THE COURT: You may resume your direct examination.
- MS. SALITERMAN: Thank you.
- 16 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MS. SALITERMAN:
- 18 Q. Mr. Riveland, in -- I would like to leave the safety area,

- 19 but I would like to ask you one final question. Were -- when
- 20 you were at the 16 units, did you make any attempt to find out
- 21 if the unit kept any information on what happened to prisoners
- 22 who had requested some sort of protection or safety and it was
- 23 denied?
- 24 A. We did. And it was somewhat ironic. At the first unit
- 25 that I visit, Coffield, it turned out that -- that the -- what

- 1 is required by policy and procedure, the emergency housing log
- 2 had not been maintained for some extended period of time -
- 3 several months and that an attempt had been made to catch it
- 4 up I believe it was in February of 1998 and you could see
- 5 that there were literally several sheets with the same identical
- 6 handwriting writing everything in for that period of time and
- 7 still left three months vacant with no entries as to who had
- 8 requested emergency housing. Subsequent units that we
- 9 approached, though, generally did have such logs, and -- and we
- 10 were able to observe them in -- in subsequent units.
- 11 THE COURT: Well, did -- did the regulations call for
- 12 them?
- 13 THE WITNESS: They did, Your Honor.
- 14 BY MS. SALITERMAN:
- 15 Q. Are the logs the -- do they -- if they're adequately kept,
- 16 are those all the requests for safekeeping and -- or protection,
- 17 or some help from being victimized by another inmate?
- 18 A. The policy would suggest that it should be all of the

- 19 requests. The reality is, in simply from interviewing inmates,
- 20 that that certainly is not the case.
- 21 Q. And if the unit keeps the tally on the requests, did you
- 22 attempt to find out if the unit kept any information on what
- 23 happened to requests that were denied?
- 24 A. On such a log there is a -- a recording of -- there is to
- 25 be recorded the -- what the request is, what the problem is, and

- 1 the reaction or the response of the Unit Classification
- 2 Committee to that request.
- 3 Q. Okay. And if that request is denied, is there any
- 4 procedure in the unit in which they track what happens to the
- 5 prisoner in the subsequent month, six months, year, having
- 6 denied the request for protection?
- 7 A. At the time that I requested the information, there --
- 8 there did not appear, at least I was told there was no such
- 9 tracking at that point in time. Subsequent to that and
- 10 subsequent, I believe literally within the last few weeks, there
- 11 has been some information generated like that, but it was not
- 12 available upon request at that time.
- 13 Q. And by that are you referring to a defendants' exhibit
- 14 that's been marked in this hearing -- for this hearing?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 Q. Have you seen any information other than exhibit or
- 17 exhibits marked by the defendants?
- 18 A. I have not.

- 19 O. Thank you. Do you have any explanation what might be
- 20 contributing to this serious disregard of risk of harm -- of
- 21 serious harm to prisoners within TDC when they need or should be
- 22 identified as needing protection from assault, victimization and
- 23 so on?
- 24 A. I think one contributing factor certainly is the avenues
- 25 available for the inmate to communicate to staff what their

- 1 problems are, whether the problem is being threatened or some
- 2 type of a fear that the inmate has. One of the things I found
- 3 quite interesting and alarming, quite honestly, is that
- 4 apparently in 1995 the Legislature did not appropriate the funds
- 5 to continue what at that time were called classification
- 6 counselors, and literally several hundred classification
- 7 counselors statewide were eliminated. In almost every prison
- 8 system that I'm aware of, a position like the historical
- 9 classification counselor exists and in effect not only is
- 10 responsible for the inmate's classification and processes
- 11 regarding their classification, but frequently is an advocate
- 12 for the inmate and certainly is seen as a neutral person by
- 13 inmates as one that they can communicate their concerns to. The
- 14 elimination of these positions in this system, I think -- and I
- 15 might add that it -- it obviously was not TDCJ that chose to do
- 16 this. I've heard a number of staff around the system talk about
- 17 the value that existed. Frequently that counselor position is
- 18 the one that balances the ultra needs of custody and security

- 19 people with some concern for the individual inmate. The absence
- 20 of that person I think also affects the concerns about safety
- 21 and the avenues the inmate has to deal with safety issues.
- 22 O. So if I understand you, the elimination of these positions
- 23 meant that there's no one within the unit who can know the
- 24 prisoner personally and understand his -- whether there's a
- 25 validity to his need for some sort of help or protection?

- 1 A. I think there's very few. I -- I -- it seems to me that
- 2 several things contribute to that problem, the -- the fact that
- 3 on most units that -- that housing officers, correctional
- 4 officers that are stationed in housing units, are rotated
- 5 regularly to different posts throughout the wing and creates a
- 6 disincentive for correctional officers to get to know the
- 7 inmates and for the inmates to get to know them. The absence of
- 8 the classification counselor simply adds to that problem. And a
- 9 major communication gap, I -- I believe, is created,
- 10 contributing to safety problems.
- 11 MS. SALITERMAN: If I may approach, Your Honor.
- 12 BY MS. SALITERMAN:
- 13 Q. I would like to show you what's been marked as Plaintiffs'
- 14 11, Unit Classification Staff, 9 excuse me 1995 and 1998.
- 15 And, Mr. Riveland, if you would look, the number of
- 16 classification positions in 1995 is how many?
- 17 A. This document would indicate 328.
- 18 Q. And that's serving a prison population of what size?

- 19 A. Of -- serving 76,406.
- 20 Q. And in -- as of September 1998 --
- 21 A. I would say --
- 22 Q. -- how many classification counselors positions?
- 23 A. 61.
- 24 Q. And --
- 25 A. With a population that states here of 76,328, but that has

- 1 to be wrong.
- 2 Q. That -- that's wrong. Right.
- 3 A. It has to be in the 140,000s.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 MS. SALITERMAN: I will, at this time, not move that
- 6 in admission; and we'll prepare a corrected exhibit.
- 7 BY MS. SALITERMAN:
- 8 Q. It -- now, have you seen any documents or reviewed any
- 9 testimony by the defendants' expert, Mr. DeLand, as to who else
- 10 within the unit might be helping perform the classification
- 11 counselors' role?
- 12 A. I did read the deposition of Mr. DeLand and suggested in --
- 13 in his report that -- excuse me. I read it in his report. In
- 14 his report he suggested that a number of other positions assume
- 15 the duties, including some by correctional officers, some by
- 16 people who work in the count room and some by chaplains. I find
- 17 that highly unusual, and it doesn't really get to the issue I
- 18 have with the problem. There basically on most units is a

- 19 singular chaplain who can't serve 2,000 or, in some cases, 4,000
- 20 people the role I'm suggesting. The count room people basically
- 21 are clerical persons who keep track of the placement of people
- 22 within the institution are neither professionally trained to
- 23 handle communication issues nor do they even have access in most
- 24 instances. And the correctional officers both have a lot of
- 25 duties to perform as well as frequently are not highly trained

- 1 in trying to do that, and then it's confused by this rotating
- 2 staff issue. And I -- I'm still left with the premise that a
- 3 big gap in providing a safe environment for inmates is that they
- 4 simply do not have an adequate avenue of communication to
- 5 express their safety concerns.
- 6 Q. What role did the count room personnel play in the
- 7 classification process?
- 8 A. Basically once the -- the determination of the Unit
- 9 Classification Committee is made as to the custody level and
- 10 the -- the general housing assignment that the inmate should go
- 11 to, they determine such things as, does the person -- do we have
- 12 to put them in a lower bunk for whatever reasons, and if so,
- 13 here are the lower bunks available. They literally have little
- 14 trinkets, if you will, that hang on a hook, and -- and they
- 15 determine what inmate will be housed in what particular cell or
- 16 what particular bed given the conditions that have been laid
- 17 down by the Unit Classification Council -- Committee.
- 18 Q. And -- and do they have any personal information about --

- 19 other than what's written in the record about these prisoners?
- 20 A. No personal information, no.
- 21 O. So it's a -- more of a clerical or administerial function
- 22 they perform?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 Q. Okay. Thank you. Earlier you testified that you looked at
- 25 conditions in administrative segregation in the Estelle Unit and

- 1 all the administrative segregation facilities in the prisons you
- 2 visited?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. Did you form an opinion about the operation and -- and the
- 5 actual implementation of administrative segregation programs
- 6 within Texas prisons?
- 7 A. I was -- yes, I did. Several, actually.
- 8 Q. Would you provide us with those opinions?
- 9 A. First of all, particularly in the Level 2 and Level 3
- 10 sections of administrative segregation, I was absolutely amazed
- 11 at the stark and severe conditions that are imposed on inmates
- 12 in those particular levels.
- 13 Q. Could I just interrupt you? Would you explain what these
- 14 levels are? I mean --
- 15 A. Texas administrative segregation consists of -- in recent
- 16 years of three levels. The Level 3 is the most severe and
- 17 according -- although policy would allow an inmate to be placed
- 18 by the local committee in any one of the three, most units I

- 19 visited I was told that people generally start out in Level 3.
- 20 Level 3 is an extremely highly restricted area with arguably, in
- 21 my opinion, even some human necessities removed from the inmate.
- 22 The inmate, for example, is not allowed to have shampoo. He's
- 23 allowed only to have the personal hygiene items that are
- 24 provided by the State, is limited to any access to literature
- 25 and materials from the library. Level 3 is one of the three

- 1 levels. The other levels progressively supposedly being --
- 2 offering more privileges as one moves through them. Level 2
- 3 having a bit more recreation time, a bit more option for
- 4 property, but not much.
- 5 Q. Let me interrupt you. Do you recall how many hours out of
- 6 cell for recreation Level 3 prisoners get?
- 7 A. As I recall, Level 3 is an hour a day three times a week.
- 8 Level 2 is five -- an hour a day five times a week and Level 1
- 9 an hour daily, seven days a week, is -- is my recollection.
- 10 Q. And so for Level 2, it's three hours a week out of cell
- 11 time?
- 12 A. That's my recollection.
- 13 Q. And you said that you understood that a prisoner
- 14 automatically goes into Level 3 when he's classified to go into
- 15 ad seg. From whom did you hear this?
- 16 A. It isn't automatic. The policy would allow the local
- 17 committee the administrative segregation committee to place
- 18 them in whatever level they choose. I was told by a variety of

- 19 staff, as I visited ad seg, that they generally start the person
- 20 out in Level 3. This varied from majors to ad seg lieutenants.
- 21 Q. All of whom said they invariably start on Level 3.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And did you -- for how long does this prisoner remain in
- 24 Level 3 before there's any review of changing the level?
- 25 A. Generally, as I recall by policy, they would only have to

- 1 be there 90 days. The experience of those I talked to is they
- 2 tend to be there longer. In some instances very much longer.
- 3 Q. And I interrupted. You were describing the deprivation in
- 4 Levels 3 and 2. I didn't -- I think I interrupted your
- 5 testimony.
- 6 A. Well, Level 3, for all practical purposes, then, is an
- 7 individual who is not allowed to have property other than
- 8 state-issued hygiene, to exclude, I might add, shampoo. And I
- 9 find it amazing that we can find a correctional purpose for
- 10 denying somebody shampoo.
- 11 THE COURT: What was the purported reason; do you
- 12 know?
- 13 THE WITNESS: What has been told to me is that it's so
- 14 important to -- that people who are in -- who may be placed in
- 15 administrative segregation know that they are going to be
- 16 deprived of what I would suggest even our normal human needs
- 17 kinds of issues, that I guess there's a fear that should set
- 18 into their mind that they will improve their behavior. I'm not

- 19 sure that I follow the logic very well, but it's the most
- 20 drastic I have seen and -- and having been in the major super
- 21 maxes around the country I was a little surprised to find it in
- 22 what is in an administrative segregation unit, not a punitive
- 23 segregation unit.
- 24 BY MS. SALITERMAN:
- 25 Q. So when you compare the Level 3 and Level 2 administrative

- 1 segregation in Texas, how does that compare with the
- 2 restrictions in the super maxes you visited elsewhere?
- 3 A. In most of the super maxes that I visited, with the
- 4 exception of very small numbers of inmates who have continued to
- 5 be assaultive and for a period of time have to be locked down in
- 6 severe conditions, there's some attempt made, first of all, to
- 7 keep property levels fairly high. I might add that Pelican Bay,
- 8 which is -- has a notorious reputation and finally resolved
- 9 litigation through an agreed upon order, allows in many of its
- 10 segregation cells, its super cells max, televisions personal
- 11 televisions let alone a wide range of reading materials, and
- 12 certainly allows people to buy from the commissary. Now, there
- 13 are small numbers of people they may restrict from that, but
- 14 that's based on behavioral acting out, not on a general
- 15 condition.
- 16 Q. And did you observe the implementation of what limited
- 17 opportunities Level 2s and 3s have for recreation?
- 18 A. I did.

- 19 Q. Okay. And what is the -- where do they recreate? Indoors?
- 20 Outdoors? Out in --
- 21 A. In -- in most instances in the units that I visited, the ad
- 22 seg people recreate in a cage that's -- cages that are located
- 23 outside of the segregation building. They're locked
- 24 individually in those cages after being moved there in
- 25 restraints and moved back in restraints.